PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Margaret M. Clark DOCKET NO.: 06-24548.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-19-324-047-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Margaret M. Clark, the appellant, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a sixteen-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,082 square feet of living area and located in Lake View Township, Cook County. Features of the home include two and one-half bathrooms, a full-unfinished basement, air-conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car detached garage.

The appellant appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on eight properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The appellant also submitted a copy of a one-page letter, photographs and property characteristic printouts for the subject and the suggested comparables as well as a copy of the board of review's decision. Based on the appellant's documents, the eight suggested comparables consist of two-story, singlefamily dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction located within a few blocks of the subject. improvements range in size from 2,082 to 2,531 square feet of living area and range in age from one to sixteen years. comparables contain two and one-half or three and one-half bathrooms and air-conditioning. Seven comparables contain a finished or unfinished basement, seven comparables have one or two fireplaces and seven comparables contain a two-car detached

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 11,372 IMPR.: \$ 59,961 TOTAL: \$ 71,333

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/rfd5916

garage. The improvement assessments range from \$22.83 to \$31.39 per square foot of living area.

At hearing, the appellant argued that the appellant's comparables are similar to the subject in most respects and should be considered as such by the Property Tax Appeal Board. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$76,725. The subject's improvement assessment is \$65,353 or \$31.39 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, singlefamily dwellings of frame or masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,426 to 2,646 square feet of living area and range in age from two to seven years. The comparables contain three and onehalf bathrooms, a finished or unfinished basement, conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a two-car garage. improvement assessments range from \$32.97 to \$37.17 per square foot of living area. At hearing, the board's representative indicated that the board of review would rest on the written evidence submissions.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables one, two and six to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These three properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, amenities, age, design and location and have improvement assessments ranging from \$22.83 to \$29.70 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$31.39 falls above the range established by these properties. The remaining comparables are accorded less weight because they differ from the subject in improvement size. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the

subject's per square foot improvement assessment is not supported by the most similar properties contained in the record. As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law $(735 \, \text{ILCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$ and section $16-195 \, \text{of}$ the Property Tax Code.

| | Chairman |
|--------------------|------------------|
| 21. Fer | Huche for Soul |
| Member | Member |
| Sharon U. Thompson | Walter R. Lorski |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 14, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.